

You can use Mathematica as an aid for many of the computations, however make sure to do hand calculations where suitable as well.

**Note:** The Mathematica based solution are in <https://github.com/yoninazarathy/MATH7501-2021/blob/master/Assignment2Sol/Sol2Mathematica.pdf>

1. Consider sequences of numbers of the form  $x_n = n^\alpha$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(i) For what values of  $\alpha$  does  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ ?

(ii) Set now  $S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ . For what values of  $\alpha$  does the series,  $S$ , converge?

(iii) Consider  $\alpha = -2$ . Use Mathematica to analytically evaluate  $S$ . Use this result to suggest an algorithm for numerically approximating the constant  $\pi$  and implement it in Mathematica.

(iv) Consider  $\alpha = -1$ . In this case  $S$  is called the harmonic series. It holds that,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n x_k = \log(n) + \gamma + e_n,$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's gamma constant and  $e_n$  is an  $o(1)$  sequence. Numerically approximate  $\gamma$  and plot the sequence  $e_n$ .

(v) Assume you have a data set of numbers  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  where each number is randomly generated (uniform) on the interval  $[0, 1]$  and all numbers are statistically independent. You now run an algorithm to find,

$$m = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} x_i,$$

as follows:

**Step 1:** Set  $m = -\infty$  and  $L = 0$

**Step 2:** Loop on  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :

**Step 2a:** If  $x_i > m$  then set  $m = x_i$  and set  $L = L + 1$  (increment  $L$ ).

**Step 3:** Return  $m$  as the maximum and  $L$  as the number of times a new maximum was found.

Determine the expected value of  $L$  as a function of  $n$ . Hint: consider the harmonic series.

(vi) Carry out experimentation by repeating the algorithm above for 10,000 data sets of lengths  $n = 1, 2, 3, 10$ . See if the approximation  $\log(n) + \gamma$  is useful and comment on your results.

**Solution:**

(i) The limit is 0 when  $\alpha < 0$ . If  $\alpha = 0$   $n^\alpha \equiv 1$  and hence the limit is 1. If  $\alpha > 0$ , the limit is  $\infty$ .

(ii) The series converges when  $\alpha < -1$ . If  $\alpha \geq 0$  Then the limit of  $x_n$  is not 0 and hence the series certainly doesn't converge. If  $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$  then even though  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$  the series still does not converge. This follows from the  $p$ -test theorem for convergence of a series.

(iii) In agreement with the previous answer for  $\alpha = -2$  the series converges. In fact it converges to  $\pi^2/6$  as you can calculate via Mathematica. The explicit calculation to obtain this value is more involved. See Mathematica file for solution.

(iv) In agreement with (ii), when  $\alpha = -1$  the series does not converge. See Mathematica file for solution of the numerical approximation of  $\gamma$  and for plotting  $e_n$ .

(v) In the  $i$ th iteration of step 2a, we may consider  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i$ . The chance of  $x_i$  (the last one) being greater than each of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}$  is exactly  $1/i$ . Hence for the first iteration ( $i = 1$ ) step 2a will happen always (expectation = 1). For the second iteration ( $i = 2$ ), step 2a will happen with probability  $1/2$  and hence the expectation is  $1/2$ . For the third iteration it will happen with probability  $1/3$  and the expectation is  $1/3$ . Hence after  $n$  iterations the expectation is,

$$L = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}.$$

(vi) See Mathematica file.

2. Use the formal  $(\epsilon, N)$ , definition of the limit of a sequence to show that if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L_1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = L_2$  then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n y_n = L_1 L_2.$$

**Solution-Comment:**

This is a standard proof in analysis (note that it is beyond the level of material needed for the exam). See for example [https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Calculus/Proofs\\_of\\_Some\\_Basic\\_Limit\\_Rules](https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Calculus/Proofs_of_Some_Basic_Limit_Rules).

3. Provide a detailed geometric explanation of why  $\frac{d}{dx} \cos(x) = -\sin(x)$ .

**Solution-Comment:**

This is a standard explanation. See for example the video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R4o7sraVMZg>.

4. The Poisson distribution is a discrete probability distribution defined by the probabilities  $p(x) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}$  for  $x = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Prove that  $\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} p(x) = 1$  and thus since  $p(x) \geq 0$ , the sequence  $\{p(x)\}$  is probability distribution.

**Solution:**

The Taylor series of  $f(u) = e^u$  around  $u = 0$  is,

$$e^u = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(0) \frac{(u-0)^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^0 \frac{u^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^k}{k!}.$$

Hence setting  $u = \lambda$  we get,

$$e^\lambda = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}.$$

Multiplying this by  $e^{-\lambda}$  we get,

$$1 = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}.$$

5. The function  $f(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$  is one of the most important functions in statistics. In this problem you will investigate several properties of this function.

(i) Show that the function has a unique maximum at  $x = 0$ . Use derivatives.

(ii) Find the points of  $f(x)$  where the second derivative is 0, these are called inflection points.

(iii) Say you wish to approximate  $f(x)$  on the interval  $[-a, a]$  and use a Taylor series centered around  $x = 0$ . You use  $K$  terms to obtain a Taylor polynomial,  $\tilde{f}(x)$  (a polynomial of order  $K - 1$ ). Find the minimal  $K$  such,

$$\max_{x \in [-a, a]} |f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)| < 10^{-4},$$

for  $a = 0.5, 1.0, 1.5$ . You may use Mathematica.

(iv) The Wikipedia page [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hermite\\_polynomials](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hermite_polynomials) presents the first eleven “probabilist’s Hermite polynomials”. Use Mathematica to reproduce this result and find the 12’tth probabilist’s Hermite polynomial with the same definition.

**Solution:**

(i)

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = -xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}.$$

Solving

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = 0$$

we get  $x = 0$  as the unique solution. Further,

$$f''(x) = \frac{df(x)}{dx^2} = (-xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}})' = -e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + x^2e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = (x^2 - 1)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}.$$

Hence  $f''(0) < 0$  showing that the critical point  $x = 0$  is a maximum.

(ii) We solve for  $f''(x) = 0$  or,

$$(x^2 - 1)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = 0.$$

The Solution is  $x = \pm 1$ .

(iii) See Mathematica solution.

(iv) See Mathematica solution.

6. Assume that you only know: (1) That derivatives are linear. (2) That the derivative of a constant is 0. (3) That the derivative of  $x$  is 1. (4)  $\frac{d}{dx}x^2 = 2x$ . (5) The product rule. (6) The chain rule.

Use (1)-(6), or a subset to obtain each of the following:

- (i)  $\frac{d}{dx}x^4$  (do it using the product rule).  
 (ii)  $\frac{d}{dx}x^4$  (do it using the chain rule).  
 (iii) The quotient rule for derivatives.  
 (iv)  $\frac{d}{dx}x^{-7}$ .  
 (v)  $\frac{d}{dx}(x + 5)^2$  (do it using based on (1)-(4)).  
 (vi)  $\frac{d}{dx}(x + 5)^2$  (do it using the chain rule).

**Solution:**

(i)  $x^4 = x^2 x^2$ . Thus,

$$(x^4)' = (x^2)'x^2 + x^2(x^2)' = 2xx^2 + x^2(2x) = 4x^3.$$

(ii)  $x^4 = (x^2)^2$ . Thus,

$$(x^4)' = 2(x^2)2x = 4x^3.$$

(iii)  $\frac{f}{g} = f \frac{1}{g}$ . We also need that  $(u^{-1})' = -u^{-2}$  (this was not stated in the question). Thus,

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)' = f' \frac{1}{g} + f \left(\frac{1}{g}\right)' = f' \frac{1}{g} + f \left(-\frac{1}{g^2}\right)g' = \frac{f'g - fg'}{g^2}.$$

(iv) Use the fact that  $x^{-7} = \frac{1}{xx^2x^2x^2}$ . Then just using the rules above it follows.

(v) Use the fact that  $(x+5)^2 = x^2 + 10x + 25$ .

(vi) Follows directly from chain rule.

7. Given data points,  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  show that the sample mean,  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is also the minimizer,  $\eta$  of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \eta)^2.$$

**Solution:**

This could be done with calculus. The gradient is,

$$-2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \eta) = -2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) + 2n\eta.$$

If we equate it to 0 and solve for  $\eta$ , we obtain  $\eta = \bar{x}$ . Further, the second derivative is  $2n > 0$  (positive) indicating that  $\bar{x}$  is a minimum.

Alternatively, without using calculus, we may represent the sum of squares as an upward facing parabola in  $\eta$  via,

$$\underbrace{n}_{a} \eta^2 + \underbrace{\left(-2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)}_b \eta + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}_c.$$

This then allows us to read off the minimum value of the parabola at  $\eta = -\frac{b}{2a} = \bar{x}$ . In any case we see that the sample mean has the interpretation of minimizing the sum of squared deviations in the data.

8. Let  $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two real-valued functions satisfying the following:

$$\begin{aligned} s'(x) &= c(x), & c'(x) &= -s(x) & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \\ s(0) &= 0, & c(0) &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This question concerns properties of the functions  $c$  and  $s$ . You may only use (2), as well as the fact that there is exactly one pair of functions  $(c, s)$  satisfying (2).

(i) Show that  $c(x)^2 + s(x)^2 = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Find the Taylor series for both  $c(x)$  and  $s(x)$  about  $x = 0$ .

- (iii) Let  $p > 0$  be the first positive root of  $c$ . Set up a bisection method in Mathematica to approximate  $p$ , starting with the interval  $[0, 2]$ , and using at least 10 bisections. You should use the Taylor series approximation for  $c$  found in part (ii) up to the  $x^{10}$  term.
- (iv) Show that  $s(p - x) = c(x)$  and  $c(p - x) = s(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution:**

As we are given that there is only a single pair of functions  $(c, s)$  that satisfy (2) we may realize (and verify) that  $c(x) = \cos(x)$  and  $s(x) = \sin(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sin'(x) &= \cos(x), & \cos'(x) &= -\sin(x) & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \sin(0) &= 0, & \cos(0) &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

(i) Now  $\cos(x)^2 + \sin(x)^2 = 1$ .

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \cos x &= 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \frac{x^8}{8!} - \dots \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}. \\ \sin x &= x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \frac{x^9}{9!} - \dots \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{(n-1)} \frac{x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) See Mathematica based solution.

(iv) It is the case that  $p = \pi/2$  and it is known that  $\sin(\pi/2 - x) = \cos(x)$  and  $\cos(\pi/2 - x) = \sin(x)$ .