

Second Order Optimization

October 18, 2019

```
In [1]: using ForwardDiff
        using LinearAlgebra
```

0.1 Jacobian

$$\nabla f(x) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x) \right]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

0.2 Hessian(symmetric matrix)

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} f(x) & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} f(x) & \dots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} f(x) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} f(x) & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} f(x) & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n \partial x_n} f(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

1 Newton Method

1.1 By solving this equation

$$\Delta = \nabla^2 f(x)^{-1} \nabla f(x)$$

1.2 Find the value of x that minimizes $f(x)$

```
In [2]: function newton_method(initial,f,limitTime)
        x1 = initial
        = 1 # stepsize
        = 10^(-10) # damping
        hessian = x -> ForwardDiff.hessian(f, x)
        jacobian = x -> ForwardDiff.gradient(f, x)
        for i in 1:limitTime
            = - *inv(hessian(x1))*jacobian(x1)
            x2 = x1 +
            if f(x2) <= f(x1) #step is accepted
                x1 = x2
                #increase stepsize towards =1
                = ^0.5
            end
        end
    end
```

```

        else #step is rejected
            #decrease stepsize
            = 0.1*
        end
    return x1
end
end
end

```

Out [2]: newton_method (generic function with 1 method)

2 Gauss-Newton Method

2.0.1 Residual function

$$r = f(x) - \hat{f}(x)$$

2.0.2 Jacobian and Hessian matrix can be simplified to this form:

$$\nabla f(x) = 2\nabla r^T r$$

$$H \approx 2\nabla r^T \nabla r$$

2.0.3 By solving this equation

$$\Delta = (\nabla r^T \nabla r)^{-1} \nabla r^T r$$

```

In [3]: # Solve R1->R1 problems
# Input: initial->initial point, f->fit function, data->data, limitTime->max loop time,
# Output: the optimized parameters of fit function
function gaussNewton(initial,f,data, limitTime,limitDis)
    x = data
    # define residual function
    r(a; x) = x[length(x)] - f(x[1: (length(x) - 1)]); a=a
    # initial a0 for the first iterate
    a0 = initial
    # start iterate
    looptime = 0
    for t in 1:limitTime
        looptime = t
        fs = [r(a0; x = x[1,:])]
        for i in 2:length(x[:,1])
            fs = [fs;r(a0;x = x[i,:])]
        end
        js = Array(ForwardDiff.gradient(a -> r(a;x = x[1,:]), a0)')
        for i in 2:length(x[:,1])
            js = [js;Array(ForwardDiff.gradient(a -> r(a;x=x[i,:]), a0)')]
        end
        a1 = a0 - inv(js'*js)*js'*fs
    end
end

```

```

        # if a1 is near a0 jump out the loop <=> minimum for the residual function fou
    if norm(a0-a1) <= limitDis
        a0 = a1
        break
    end
    a0 = a1
end
println("Loop time: ",looptime)
return a0
end

```

Out[3]: gaussNewton (generic function with 1 method)

3 Levenberg-Marquardt method

3.0.1 The residual function, Jacobian and Hessian matrix are same with Gauss-Newton Method

3.0.2 Different from Gauss-Newton method, the equation Levenberg-Marquardt method should solve is

$$\Delta = (\nabla r^T \nabla r + \lambda I)^{-1} \nabla r^T r$$

```

In [4]: # Solve R1->R1 problems
# Input: initial->initial point, f->fit function, data->data, limitTime->max loop time,
# Output: the optimized parameters of fit function
function LevenbergMarquardt(initial,f,data, limitTime,limitDis)
    x = data
    # define residual function
    r(a; x) = x[length(x)] - f(x[1: (length(x) - 1)]); a=a
    # initial a0 for the first iterate
    a0 = initial
        = 10^-10
    # start iterate
    looptime = 0
    for t in 1:limitTime
        looptime = t
        fs = [r(a0; x = x[1,:])]
        for i in 2:length(x[:,1])
            fs = [fs;r(a0;x = x[i,:])]
        end
        js = Array(ForwardDiff.gradient(a -> r(a;x = x[1,:]), a0)')
        for i in 2:length(x[:,1])
            js = [js;Array(ForwardDiff.gradient(a -> r(a;x=x[i,:]), a0)')]
        end
        # Levenberg-Marquardt method
        a1 = a0 - inv(js'*js+Matrix(I, length(initial), length(initial)))*js'*fs
        # if a1 is near a0 jump out the loop <=> minimum for the residual function fou
    end
end

```

```

    if norm(a0-a1) <= limitDis
        a0 = a1
        break
    end
    y1=[]
    y2=[]
    for i in 1:length(x[:,1])
        y1 = [y1;A(x[i,:];a=a0)]
        y2 = [y2;A(x[i,:];a=a1)]
    end
    residual1 = norm(y1-x[:,length(x[1,:])])
    residual2 = norm(y2-x[:,length(x[1,:])])
    if residual2<=residual1
        = 0.2*
        a0 = a1
    else
        = 10*
    end
end
println("Loop time: ",looptime)
return a0
end

```

Out [4]: LevenbergMarquardt (generic function with 1 method)

4 Example 1

Define a function

$$f1(x) = -x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 3x_1 + 4x_2 - x_1x_3 + 26$$

to test newton method

In [5]: `f1(x) = -x[1]^2 + x[2]^2 - 3*x[1] + 4*x[2] - x[1]*x[3] + 26`

Out [5]: `f1 (generic function with 1 method)`

Set the initial point to be `x = [1, 2, 3]`

In [6]: `x = newton_method([1;2;3],f1,1000,-100,0.00001)`

Out [6]: `3-element Array{Float64,1}:
 0.0
 -2.0
 -3.0`

and we find the value of `x` that minimizes `f(x)` is `[0, -2.0, -3.0]`

5 Example 2

Define a nonlinear function B

$$B(x) = e^{x_1^3 + x_2^2 + x_1 x_2}$$

```
In [7]: B(x) = exp(x[1]^3+x[2]^2 + x[1]*x[2])
```

```
Out[7]: B (generic function with 1 method)
```

Generate a set of data by the above nonlinear function B

```
In [8]: xs1 = 0:0.02:1
        xs2 = 0:0.02:1

        data=[0 0 B([0,0])]
        for i in 1:length(xs1)
            for j in 1: length(xs2)
                data = [data;xs1[i] xs2[j] B([xs1[i]; xs2[j]])]
            end
        end
```

Define a nonlinear function A to fit the data generated by function B

$$A(x;a) = a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 + a_3 x_1 x_2 + a_4$$

```
In [9]: A(x;a) = a[1]*x[1]^2+a[2]*x[2]^2+a[3]*x[1]*x[2]+a[4]
```

```
Out[9]: A (generic function with 1 method)
```

Now assume the parameter of the function that generate the dataset above is unknown. This is a $R^1 \rightarrow R^1$ nonlinear least square problem, that is to find the optimized parameters a in function A.

In Gauss-Newton Method, set the initial parameters to be [1, 2, 3, 4]

```
In [10]: @time begin
          initial = [1;2;3;4]
          optimize = gaussNewton(initial,A,data,100,10^-5)
        end
```

Loop time: 2

2.736285 seconds (5.03 M allocations: 511.953 MiB, 9.83% gc time)

```
Out[10]: 4-element Array{Float64,1}:
          2.139104342427509
          2.103249990271506
          6.169006304472237
          -0.053387257275212374
```

```

In [11]: ys = data[:,length(data[1,:])]
         xs = data[:,1:(length(data[1,:])-1)]
         yp=[]
         for i in 1:length(ys)
             #println(xs[i,:])
             yp = [yp;A(xs[i,:];a=optimize)]
         end
         residual = norm(yp-ys)
         println("Residual: ",residual)

```

Residual: 51.05062195039618

In Levenberg-Marquardt method, set the initial parameters to be [1, 2, 3, 4]

```

In [12]: @time begin
         initial = [1;2;3;4]
         optimize = LevenbergMarquardt(initial,A,data,100,10^-5)
         end

```

Loop time: 2

1.679500 seconds (2.88 M allocations: 453.333 MiB, 10.05% gc time)

```

Out[12]: 4-element Array{Float64,1}:
         2.139104342427509
         2.1032499902715056
         6.169006304472237
        -0.05338725727521243

```

```

In [13]: ys = data[:,length(data[1,:])]
         xs = data[:,1:(length(data[1,:])-1)]
         yp=[]
         for i in 1:length(ys)
             #println(xs[i,:])
             yp = [yp;A(xs[i,:];a=optimize)]
         end
         residual = norm(yp-ys)
         println("Residual: ",residual)

```

Residual: 51.050621950396184

By using Gauss-Newton method and Levenberg-Marquardt method, We find the optimized parameters are [2.14, 2.10, 6.17, -0.05], and the residual is 51.05

6 Example 3

To compare these two methods, in this example, we will consider a complicated function

Define a nonlinear function B1

$$B1(x) = \frac{5x_1^2}{3 + 4 \times 3^{1.5x_2}}$$

```
In [14]: B1(x) = 5*x[1]^2/(3+4*3^(1.5*x[2]))
```

```
Out[14]: B1 (generic function with 1 method)
```

Generate a set of data by the above nonlinear function B1

```
In [15]: xs1 = 0:0.02:1
        xs2 = 0:0.02:1
```

```
data1=[0 0 B1([0,0])]
for i in 1:length(xs1)
    for j in 1: length(xs2)
        data1 = [data1;xs1[i] xs2[j] B1([xs1[i]; xs2[j]])]
    end
end
```

Define a nonlinear function A1 to fit the data generated by function B1

$$A1(x;a) = \frac{a_1x_1^2}{a_2 + a_3 \times 3^{a_4x_2}}$$

```
In [16]: A1(x;a) = a[1]*x[1]^2/(a[2]+a[3]*3^(a[4]*x[2]))
```

```
Out[16]: A1 (generic function with 1 method)
```

Now assume the parameter of the function that generate the dataset above is unknown. This is a R1->R1 nonlinear least square problem, that is to find the optimized parameters a in function A1.

In Gauss-Newton Method, set the initial parameters to be [1, 2, 3, 4]

```
In [17]: @time begin
        initial = [1;2;3;4]
        optimize = gaussNewton(initial,A1,data1,100,10^-5)
    end
```

Loop time: 100

9.053897 seconds (13.04 M allocations: 13.283 GiB, 17.17% gc time)

```
Out[17]: 4-element Array{Float64,1}:
```

```
NaN
NaN
NaN
NaN
```

```
In [18]: ys = data1[:,length(data1[1,:])]
         xs = data1[:,1:(length(data1[1,:])-1)]
         yp=[]
         for i in 1:length(ys)
             yp = [yp;A1(xs[i,:];a=optimize)]
         end
         residual = norm(yp-ys)
         println("Residual: ",residual)
```

Residual: NaN

In Levenberg-Marquardt method, set the initial parameters to be [1, 2, 3, 4]

```
In [19]: @time begin
         initial = [1;2;3;4]
         optimize = LevenbergMarquardt(initial,A1,data1,100,10^-5)
         end
```

Loop time: 53

6.658476 seconds (15.96 M allocations: 9.996 GiB, 17.02% gc time)

```
Out[19]: 4-element Array{Float64,1}:
          0.9989291222734306
          5.426350476005932
         -4.156828381469578
         -0.6716430753650134
```

```
In [20]: ys = data1[:,length(data1[1,:])]
         xs = data1[:,1:(length(data1[1,:])-1)]
         yp=[]
         for i in 1:length(ys)
             yp = [yp;A1(xs[i,:];a=optimize)]
         end
         residual = norm(yp-ys)
         println("Residual: ",residual)
```

Residual: 0.9495149247371497

By using Levenberg-Marquardt method, We find the optimized parameters are [1.00, 5.43, -4.16, -0.67], and the residual is 0.95

Compare Levenberg-Marquardt method with Gauss-Newton method, we found that Levenberg-Marquardt method can apply to more situations